

Statement made on behalf of: The Commissioner of Police of  
the Metropolis

Witness: Philip Williams

Statement No: 2

Exhibits Referred to: PW/1

Date Statement Made: November 2012

---

**The Leveson Inquiry into the Culture Practices and Ethics of the Press**

---

This is the exhibit marked 'PW1' referred to in the statement of Philip Williams,  
dated this November 2012.

Operation Carvatiid Update

31<sup>st</sup> May 2006

Situation

1. As documented in entry 25 of the 9<sup>th</sup> May the tactics of both [ ] and [ ] accessing their voice messages at set times twice a day and recording the details has occurred. In the case of [ ] it appears that the action O2 have taken regarding the security of PIN changes appears to have prevented any unauthorised action into her messages. As a result I have asked her to stop the controlled access and revert to normal routine use of her telephone.
2. In [ ]'s case, however, it is clear that his Vodaphone telephone is still being accessed; therefore the controlled period will be extended and reviewed on Monday 19<sup>th</sup> June 2006.
3. Enquiries reveal that the telephone number [ ] (identified previously as one which also obtains unauthorised access to messages held on both [ ] and [ ]'s telephones) belongs to Glenn Mulclaire. The telephone is registered with B.T. in the name of PJ Williams and is sited in an office trading as GW at [ ] Sutton. The person paying rent on these premises is Glen Mulclaire of [ ] Chelsea.
4. The as yet unidentified male who has made numerous calls into O2 to successfully change PIN numbers of many UVN's including [ ] uses the name Paul Williams. These recordings have been submitted as evidence by O2.
5. The Directed Surveillance (DS) authority remains in place and has been subject to review however, whilst the 'test' period continues with regards [ ]'s telephone the 'DS' will continue.
6. The product obtained from the two cameras covers a communal area covering two dwellings. One owned by suspect Goodman the other by unknown persons. The collateral intrusion issues are dealt with in the D.S. log.

Assessment

It is my intention to continue with the evidence gathering as discussed above.

In the case of Mulclaire I have asked for the following actions to be carried out;

1. An intelligence package on Mulclaire
2. A financial check on Mulclaire. In particular to ascertain any financial links between Goodman and Mulclaire or News International and Mulclaire.
3. Telephone data to be checked to ascertain any links between Mulclaire and Goodman or News International.

Clearly the information of Mulclaire being the person behind the company GW and the telephone number used to access our 'victims' voicemail messages leads to the possibility that Paul Williams and Mulclaire are one and the same person and that Mulclaire is conspiring with Goodman and/or News International to systematically obtain unlawful access to the voicemail messages of [ ] and [ ].

I keep an open mind on this and will continue to keep the investigation open to explore all investigative opportunities to prove or disprove this theory.

As detailed above the monitoring period on [ ]'s phone will continue and will be reviewed on Monday 19<sup>th</sup> June 2006.

I have further briefed the CPS on the progress of this investigation and the priority actions being conducted. No issues were raised at this meeting.

Other Victims.

On the 15<sup>th</sup> May I was informed by O2 that they had identified a number of customers who may have been subject to similar unlawful interception acts. As a result of O2 notifying their customers two have requested that police be informed.

1. Max Clifford

2. [ ]

This investigation was undertaken by the A.T.B. for the reasons outlined within this decision log. The physical risks to the Royal Family cannot be underestimated and as such anything other than a C.T. investigation into the unlawful access would be unwise. The wider issue, however, is somewhat different, as the potential terrorist risk to private citizens does not fall into the Counter Terrorist Portfolio. That does not mean that it shouldn't be investigated because each unlawful interception is a serious offence.

I have briefed DAC Clarke, Commander McDowell and DCS White into the widening aspect of this investigation with a suggestion that another investigative team should take the wider investigation. I await a response on this issue.



Keith Surtees  
D.C.I.