

Middle-class students face £7,000 wallop

Jack Grimston

Jack Grimston
STUDENTS from middle-class
families may be denied grants
and cheap loans and be charged
lapke tutino fres under a "double whammy" to be considered
by a government review of university funding.
It could add nearly £7,000 a
year to the cost of university for
a student from a family with an
income of £50,000 a year.
The higher charges are being
advocated after Lord Mandelson, the first secretary of state,
announced £950m of cuts to
higher education. Costs are
expected to increase, whoever
wins the general election.
Lord Browne, the chairman
of the government review, has
the task of producing more
of the government review, has
the task of producing more
of the government review, has
the task of the control of the government review, has
the task of the control of the government review, has
the task of the control of the government review, has
the task of the control of the government review, has
the task of the control of the government review, has
the task of the control of the government review, has
the task of the control of the government review, has
the task of the control of the government review, has
the task of the control of the government review, has
the task of producing more
of the government review, has
the task of the control of the government review, has
the task of producing more
noting the control of the government review, has
the task of producing more
of the government review, has
the task of producing more
of the government review.



entitlement of full-time undergraduate from family with £50,000

loan £4,207

expected to favour cutting grants and loans for those on higher incomes after George Osborne, the shadow chance-lor, said last week that the par-ty would slash benefits for the better-off to tackle the public-sector deficit. In addition, Browne, who

will report after the election, has come under pressure to recommend raising annual tuition fees to at least £5,000 from the present ceiling of £3,255.

Critics of the grants and the present ceiling of £3,255.

Critics of the grants and the present ceiling of £3,255.

Critics of the grants and the present of the more year of annual year of the more of up to £60,000 — believe some of the money should go to poorer students and some to university offers to belp recoup the Mandelson cuts.

Browne's recommendations on grants and loans will have as much importance for family finances as increases in fees—more than half the average £23,000 student debt derives from living costs, and accommodation lees are rising at an estimated 10% a year.

That is the issue dent loans restinated 10% a year.

That is the issue dent loans restinated 10% a year.

That is the issue dent loans repetured to the the standard of the second of

loans to cover tuition fees. In addition, those on family incomes of £25,000 may now claim grants for living costs of £2,906 and loans of £3,497.

Even those on incomes of £50,020-£60,000 are entitled to loans of a least £3,564 a year.

These costs are now seen as increasingly unaffordable, taking 28% of all higher education funding.

However, Smith warned that the government should not rely on changes to grants, loans and tees to fill the gap caused by the slashing of higher education funding.

"They think they can make the spending cuts because Lord Browne will come up with an answer," said Smith. "I am not clear that he will."

Staff cuts

Universities are preparing to slash academic staff and

academic staff and courses to cope with deep powerment syending cuts, spending cuts, threatening students with soaring Lisas sizes and poorer teaching. The staff reductions, some of which had believe the before Lord Mandelson recently continued to the staff of the staff reductions, some of which had believe to the staff of the s

Pam Tatlow, the chief executive of Million+, said: "Students and the fees review cannot be expected to square the circle of spending cuts either through reductions in student support or increases in fees."

Be afraid, parents, News Review, page 9



It takes an extraordinary car to win this award. We've won it three times in a row.



3 YEARS

Jaguar is proud to announce that it has won the 2010 BEST EXECUTIVE CAR award from What Car? Just like it did in 2009, And 2008. Now making it over 50 international awards in total. Which is not surprising, considering the XF's beautiful design, powerful yet efficient engine, and a host of luxurious standard features you won't find standard in other luxury saloons. Plus an extraordinary price.

STARTING FROM JUST £29,900

» TEST DRIVE THE EXTRAORDINARY: JAGUAR.CO.UK/XF | 0800 731 0098

THIS IS THE NEW JAGUAR

FUEL CONSUMPTION FIGURES FOR JAGUAR XF RANGE: MPG (L/100KM): URBAN 15.1 (18.7) - 30.0 (9.5) EXTRA URBAN 32.4 (8.7) - 51.3 (5.5). COMBINED 22.5 (12.5) - 42.0 (6.8). CO2 EMISSIONS 179 - 292 G/KM. ©2010 JAGUAR CARS LTD.

Press Complaints Commission

Mrs Deborah Rundle complained to the Press Complains Commission that an approach by a reporter to her daughter after the death of her son raised a breach of clause 5 (intrusion into grief or shock) of the Editors Code of Practice.

The complainant was upheld. The complainant 's son, Toby, had died at university on October 8, 2009, and there was speculation that he had taken his own life. Mrs Rundle complainant was upheld. The sunday Times was inaccurate and tissensitive. While the PCC investigation was ongoing, another reporter from the paper contacted the complainant's daughter through Facebook. She informed the reporter that the family did not wish to speak in view of the ongoing complaint. The reporter then asked about the details of the complainant's charging complaint. The reporter then asked about the details of the complainant's she could highlight what was wrong with it. This upset Mrs Rundle's daughter.

The managing editor apole gised for this second approach, explaining that the reporter was a freedance and was unaware of the PCC complaint. However, the accepted that the reporter was freedance and was unaware of the PCC complaint. However, the accepted that the reporter was freedance and was unaware of the PCC complaint, However, the accepted that the reporter should not have continued questioning Mrs Mandle's daughter.

once the complaint had been mentioned. The reporter underscrued her error and apologised for it. Nothing from the correspondence with the surface of the correspondence would be used in any future article. Additionally, all section editors and deputies had been alerted to the complaint and told not to contact the family. The paper also offered to send a private letter of apology to the family.

Adjudication

Adjudication

It was regretable that a communication failure at the newspaper resulted in a further approach being made to the family despite the fact that there was an outstanding complaint about the previous coverage. It would also have been sensible for the reporter not to have pursued the marter directly with Mrs Rundle's daughter once the complaint host deep brought to the ratternion. The combination of these two conclude that the handling of this approach was intrusive in breach of daluse 5, and the complaint was upheld on this pool of the complaint was upheld on this pool of the complaint was upheld on this pool of the complaint was presented in the sunday Times on October 11, 2009, was not upheld.