



RE: Privileged and confidential Intelligence and instructions on process

beatrice.roxburgh to: michael.prescott, guy.black,
Bertrand.LOUVEAUX

03/02/2011 09:21

Cc: JMcleod, Jordan.Ellison, JPhelan, sarah.davis, nick.fullagar,
chris.j.wade, Paul.Vickers, PMiller2, sean.williams, SPugh

Ofcom's report shows they have already already thought about remedies but there is no downside to reminding them and sharing what has been sent to DCMS.

B

Pages 2 to 4 of the email chain are redacted.

From: Phelan, Joe (LDN-WSW) [mailto:JPhelan@webershandwick.com<mailto:JPhelan@webershandwick.com>]
Sent: 01 February 2011 15:15
To: McLeod, Jonathan (LDN-WSW); 'sarah.davis@guardian.co.uk<mailto:sarah.davis@guardian.co.uk>'; Ellison, Jordan
Cc: 'guy.black@telegraph.co.uk<mailto:guy.black@telegraph.co.uk>'; 'nick.fullagar@trinitymirror.com<mailto:nick.fullagar@trinitymirror.com>'; LOUVEAUX, Bertrand; 'beatrice.roxburgh@bt.com<mailto:beatrice.roxburgh@bt.com>'; 'chris.j.wade@guardian.co.uk<mailto:chris.j.wade@guardian.co.uk>'; 'michael.prescott@bt.com<mailto:michael.prescott@bt.com>'; 'Paul.Vickers@trinitymirror.com<mailto:Paul.Vickers@trinitymirror.com>'; Miller, Pavel (LDN-WSW); 'sean.williams@bt.com<mailto:sean.williams@bt.com>'; Pugh, Simon (LDN-WSW)
Subject: RE: Intelligence on process

I had a private conversation with a DCMS Minister last week who, as you would expect, stuck to the line. However, the interesting point he made on the legal advice to the SoS was that the Government solicitor takes the view that this new process makes it harder in the long run for either News or other interested parties to bring proceedings against the Government. By giving News this opportunity it makes it (in their view) near impossible for them to find grounds for complaint should it not go their way in the end. Whatever Jeremy ends up with his paranoia is not to leave himself open to legal questions.

From: Sarah Davis [mailto:sarah.davis@guardian.co.uk<mailto:sarah.davis@guardian.co.uk>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2011 08:42 AM
To: Ellison, Jordan <Jordan.Ellison@slaughterandmay.com<mailto:Jordan.Ellison@slaughterandmay.com>>
Cc: Guy Black <guy.black@telegraph.co.uk<mailto:guy.black@telegraph.co.uk>>; nick.fullagar@trinitymirror.com<mailto:nick.fullagar@trinitymirror.com>>
<nick.fullagar@trinitymirror.com<mailto:nick.fullagar@trinitymirror.com>>>; LOUVEAUX, Bertrand <Bertrand.LOUVEAUX@slaughterandmay.com<mailto:Bertrand.LOUVEAUX@slaughterandmay.com>>; beatrice.roxburgh@bt.com<mailto:beatrice.roxburgh@bt.com>> <beatrice.roxburgh@bt.com<mailto:beatrice.roxburgh@bt.com>>>; chris.j.wade@guardian.co.uk<mailto:chris.j.wade@guardian.co.uk>> <chris.j.wade@guardian.co.uk<mailto:chris.j.wade@guardian.co.uk>>>; McLeod, Jonathan (LDN-WSW); Phelan, Joe (LDN-WSW); michael.prescott@bt.com<mailto:michael.prescott@bt.com>> <michael.prescott@bt.com<mailto:michael.prescott@bt.com>>>; Paul.Vickers@trinitymirror.com<mailto:Paul.Vickers@trinitymirror.com>> <Paul.Vickers@trinitymirror.com<mailto:Paul.Vickers@trinitymirror.com>>>; Miller, Pavel (LDN-WSW); sean.williams@bt.com<mailto:sean.williams@bt.com>> <sean.williams@bt.com<mailto:sean.williams@bt.com>>>; Pugh, Simon (LDN-WSW)
Subject: Re: Hunt Statement

Thank you Jordan

Disappointingly the responses of the Treasury Solicitor and Ofcom do not shed any further light on the process as you say.

Sarah

On 1 February 2011 13:35, Ellison, Jordan
<Jordan.Ellison@slaughterandmay.com<mailto:Jordan.Ellison@slaughterandmay.com>> wrote:
CONFIDENTIAL EMAIL FROM SLAUGHTER AND MAY - THIS EMAIL AND ANY ATTACHMENT
MAY BE PRIVILEGED
Dear all

Please see attached responses received today from DCMS and OFCOM. Neither provides any further detail on the process.

Best regards

Jordan

From: Ellison, Jordan
Sent: 27 January 2011 18:00
To: 'Guy Black'; nick.fullagar@trinitymirror.com<
mailto:nick.fullagar@trinitymirror.com>
Cc: LOUVEAUX, Bertrand; beatrice.roxburgh@bt.com<
mailto:beatrice.roxburgh@bt.com>; chris.j.wade@guardian.co.uk<
mailto:chris.j.wade@guardian.co.uk>; jmcleod@webershandwick.com<
mailto:jmcleod@webershandwick.com>; jphelan@webershandwick.com<
mailto:jphelan@webershandwick.com>; michael.prescott@bt.com<
mailto:michael.prescott@bt.com>; Paul.Vickers@trinitymirror.com<
mailto:Paul.Vickers@trinitymirror.com>; PMiller2@webershandwick.com<
mailto:PMiller2@webershandwick.com>; sarah.davis@guardian.co.uk<
mailto:sarah.davis@guardian.co.uk>; sean.williams@bt.com<
mailto:sean.williams@bt.com>; SPugh@webershandwick.com<
mailto:SPugh@webershandwick.com>
Subject: RE: Hunt Statement

CONFIDENTIAL EMAIL FROM SLAUGHTER AND MAY - THIS EMAIL AND ANY ATTACHMENT
MAY BE PRIVILEGED

Dear all

Please see attached the letters as sent to OFCOM, OFT and the SoS this
afternoon.

We are making follow-up phone calls to OFCOM and OFT.

Best regards

Jordan

Jordan Ellison
Slaughter and May
+44 (0)20 7090 5264

Pages 8 to 10 of the email chain are redacted.

From: Field, Sarah
Sent: 20 January 2011 14:31
To: LOUVEAUX, Bertrand; 'Sarah Davis'; Ellison, Jordan;
'Paul.Vickers@trinitymirror.com<mailto:Paul.Vickers@trinitymirror.com>';
'beatrice.roxburgh@bt.com<mailto:beatrice.roxburgh@bt.com>';
'chris.j.wade@guardian.co.uk<mailto:chris.j.wade@guardian.co.uk>';
'guy.black@telegraph.co.uk<mailto:guy.black@telegraph.co.uk>';
'jmcleod@webershandwick.com<mailto:jmcleod@webershandwick.com>';
'jphelan@webershandwick.com<mailto:jphelan@webershandwick.com>';
'michael.prescott@bt.com<mailto:michael.prescott@bt.com>';
'nick.fullagar@trinitymirror.com<mailto:nick.fullagar@trinitymirror.com>';
'PMiller2@webershandwick.com<mailto:PMiller2@webershandwick.com>';
'sean.williams@bt.com<mailto:sean.williams@bt.com>';
'SPugh@webershandwick.com<mailto:SPugh@webershandwick.com>'
Subject: RE: RE: Divestment of Sky News - Letter to Hunt

CONFIDENTIAL EMAIL FROM SLAUGHTER AND MAY - THIS EMAIL AND ANY
ATTACHMENT MAY BE PRIVILEGED

Please see attached pdf of the final version of the letter.

Kind regards,

Sarah

Sarah Field
Secretary to Bertrand Louveaux
Slaughter and May, One Bunhill Row, London EC1Y 8YY
T: +44 (0) 20 7090 4172
F: +44 (0) 20 7090 5000

Pages 12 to 29 of the email chain are redacted.